

Serial no: 09/832,737

REMARKS

In the November 3, 2004 Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-7, 9-27, and 29-35 pending in the application. This Response amends claims 1, 13, and 21 and cancels claims 12, 20, and 32. Claims 12, 20, and 32 have been incorporated into their respective independent claims, and thus, no new matter is added by this amendment. After entering the foregoing amendments, claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-19, 21-27, 29-31 and 33-35 (3 independent claims; 30 total claims) remain pending in the application. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

In the telephone interview of February 1, 2005, Examiner Baoquoc To, the Applicant's representative (David O. Caplan), and the Applicant (Karl Forster) discussed the Office Action of November 3, 2004, the cited reference from the Office Action, and proposed amendments to the claims. During the interview, it was agreed that the Examiner would consider incorporating the "quarantine area" of the dependent claims into the independent claims to overcome the references cited in the November 3, 2004 Office Action.

CLAIM REJECTIONS FROM OFFICE ACTION

Claims 1, 13, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Korzeniowski, Uncle Sam surfs via Lotus Notes (hereinafter "Korzeniowski") in view of Winn Schwartau, Wipe out Web Graffiti, CNN.com (hereinafter "Winn Schwartau"). Claims 2-7, 9-11, 14-19, 22-27, 29-31, and 33-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Korzeniowski, in view of Winn Schwartau, and further in view of Saether et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,405,219 (hereinafter "Saether"). Claims 12, 20, and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Korzeniowski, in view of Winn Schwartau, and further in view of NTIS Data Grabber Web Site Security (hereinafter "NTIS"). Applicants respectively traverse these rejections as discussed with the Examiner during the telephone interview summarized above.

As discussed with the Examiner, Korzeniowski generally discloses a brief description of a system for checking and repairing web pages that requires manual intervention to determine if the change was not authorized and, thus, should result in a repair of a web page. Winn Schwartau generally discloses a brief description of, Tripwire, a system for checking for

Serial no: 09/832,737

unauthorized file modifications. The Tripwire system does not perform any repair of files. Saether generally discloses a system for updating a version of files on a content server. NTIS generally discloses a document that contains a very brief description of a product that appears to monitors web sites.

Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 13, and 21 to include the "quarantine area" feature of dependent claims 12, 20, and 32. The cited references do not teach or suggest such a quarantine area. Specifically, the cited references do not teach or suggest "moving the target file from the target location to a quarantine area if the step of comparing indicate that the target file differs from the archive file" as recited by amended independent claims 1, 13, and 21.

For the above reasons, Applicant submits that the present invention of claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-19, 21-27, 29-31 and 33-35 are patentable over the cited references and therefore claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-19, 21-27, 29-31 and 33-35 are allowable. Applicant has cancelled claims 12, 20, and 32. Accordingly, Applicant respectively request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-19, 21-27, 29-31 and 33-35 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Serial no: 09/832,737

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims fully comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112 and are allowable over the prior art of record. Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all pending claims is earnestly solicited. If the application is not allowed, Applicants respectfully request an Advisory Action from the Examiner. Should the Examiner wish to discuss any of the above in greater detail or deem that further amendments should be made to improve the form of the claims, then the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the Examiner's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3-February-2005By: 

David O. Caplan
Reg. No. 41,655

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
(602) 382-6284
(602) 382-6070 - Facsimile